

Quantization of branching coefficients for classical Lie groups

Cédric Lecouvey

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville

Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale

Maison de la recherche Blaise Pascal

50 rue F. Buisson B.P. 699 62228 Calais Cedex

Abstract

We study natural quantizations of branching coefficients corresponding to the restrictions of the classical Lie groups to their Levi subgroups. We show that they admit a stable limit which can be regarded as a q -analogue of a tensor product multiplicity. According to a conjecture by Shimozono, the stable one-dimensional sum for nonexceptional affine crystals are expected to occur as special cases of these q -analogues.

1 Introduction

The Kostka coefficients and the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients which have many occurrences in the representation theory of GL_n admit interesting q -analogues. Giving λ a partition of length at most n and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the q -analogue of the Kostka coefficient $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ giving the dimension of the weight space μ in the irreducible finite dimensional GL_n -module $V^{GL_n}(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ is the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ (also called Lusztig q -analogue of weight multiplicity). Consider $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a r -tuple of partitions of lengths summing n and denote by μ the n -tuple obtained by reading the parts of the $\mu^{(p)}$'s from left to right. There exist in the literature different quantizations of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ giving the multiplicity of $V^{GL_n}(\lambda)$ in the tensor product $V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(r)})$.

In [9] Lascoux Leclerc and Thibon have introduced such a q -analogue by mean of certain generalizations of semi-standard Young tableaux called ribbon tableaux. They have proved in [10] that the polynomials obtained belong to a family of parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials introduced by Deodhar which have nonnegative integer coefficients [6].

When $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ are rectangular partitions, it is also possible to define q -analogues of the coefficients $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ by considering the one-dimensional sums $X_{\lambda,\mu}^\emptyset(q)$ obtained from affine $A_n^{(1)}$ -crystals associated to Kirillov-Reshetikhin $U'_q(\widehat{sl_n})$ -modules [5].

Consider $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ a sequence of positive integers summing n and suppose that $\mu^{(p)}$ has length η_p for any $p = 1, \dots, r$. The Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ also coincide with the multiplicity of the tensor product $V^{GL_{\eta_1}}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{GL_{\eta_r}}(\mu^{(r)})$ in the restriction of $V^{GL_n}(\lambda)$ to its Levi subgroup $GL_\eta = GL_{\eta_1} \times \dots \times GL_{\eta_r}$. This duality permits to express $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ in terms of a Kostant-type partition function. By quantifying this partition function, Shimozono and Weyman [18] have introduced another natural q -analogue of $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ that we will denote $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ (I being the set of the simple roots of GL_η). The polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ are Poincaré polynomials and appear in the Hilbert series of the Euler characteristic of certain graded virtual G -modules. By a result of Broer [1], they admit nonnegative coefficients providing that the $\mu^{(p)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights. In this case Shimozono has proved in [15] that $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ coincide with $X_{\lambda,\mu}^\emptyset(q)$. This result which is based on a combinatorial description of the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$, permits in particular to recover that they have nonnegative coefficients independently of the results of Broer. Under the same hypothesis, it is conjectured that $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ also coincide with the

LLT quantization of $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$. When the $\mu^{(p)}$'s are simply row partitions, we have $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ is the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial associated to the weights λ and μ .

Let G be one of the classical groups GL_n, SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n} or SO_{2n} and R_G^+ its set of positive roots. Kostka and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients can be regarded as branching coefficients corresponding to the restriction of GL_n to its principal Levi subgroups. This naturally yields to study the branching coefficients corresponding to the restriction to a subgroup G_0 (not necessarily of Levi type) of G and their corresponding q -analogues. The branching coefficients which are considered in this paper can be expressed in terms of certain partition functions counting the number of way to decompose a weight of G into a linear positive combination of simple roots belonging to a fixed subset of R_G^+ . To obtain the corresponding q -analogues of these coefficients, it suffices to quantify these partitions functions. In particular when $G_0 = H_G$ is the maximal torus of G , the q -analogues obtained in this way are precisely the Lusztig q -analogues of weight multiplicities associated to G [14].

Our aim in this paper is two fold. First, we study the natural quantizations of branching coefficients corresponding to the restrictions of G to the Levi subgroups of its standard parabolic subgroups. These polynomials will be denoted $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q)$ where I is the set of simple roots of the Levi subgroup $L_{G, I}$ considered. The polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q)$ are generalizations of Lusztig q -analogues of weight multiplicities which coincide with the q -analogues $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ for $G = GL_n$. From the results of [1], one can derive that they have nonnegative coefficients when μ is stable under the action of the Weyl group of $L_{G, I}$. The polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ indexed by pairs of dominant weights λ and μ which contain sufficiently large multiples of the fundamental weight $\kappa = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ are called stable. This terminology reflects the fact that they are invariant if λ and μ are translated by κ . When the Levi subgroup $L_{G, I}$ is isomorphic to a direct product of linear groups, we prove that this stable limit decomposes as nonnegative integer combination of polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ (Theorem 3.2.4). This result can be regarded as a generalization of the decomposition of the stable limit of Lusztig q -analogues associated to G as a sum of Kostka-Foulkes polynomials given in [11]. For a general Levi subgroup, we conjecture that the polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q)$ have nonnegative coefficients providing that μ is a partition (Conjecture 3.1.4). Note that this condition is in particular fulfilled when μ is stable under the action of the Weyl group of $L_{G, I}$. While writing this paper, the author was informed that an equivalent statement of this conjecture first appeared in some unpublished notes by Broer.

Next we study Littlewood-Richardson-type coefficients associated to $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and discuss the problem of their possible quantizations. Note first that the LLT quantization of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients for GL_n is based on a very special property of the plethysm of the Schur functions with the power sums. Indeed the coefficients appearing in the decomposition of this plethysm on the basis of the Schur functions are, up to a sign, Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. An analogous property for the other classical groups does not exist. Thus it seems impossible to relate q -analogues of tensor product multiplicities to Deodhar's polynomials by proceeding as in [10]. With the above notation for μ and η , we define the coefficient $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ as the multiplicity of the finite dimensional irreducible G -module $V^G(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ in the tensor product $V^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^G(\mu^{(r)})$. We show that this coefficient can be expressed in terms of a partition function (Proposition 4.1.5). This implies in particular that it does not depend on G . We also establish a duality result (Proposition 4.2.4) between the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ and certain branching coefficients corresponding to the restriction of SO_{2n} to the subgroup $SO_{2\eta_1} \times \dots \times SO_{2\eta_r}$ (which is not isomorphic to a Levi subgroup of SO_{2n}). This permits to define q -analogues for the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ but the polynomials obtained in this way may have negative coefficients even if the n -tuple μ is a partition. Denote by $\mathfrak{V}^G(\lambda)$ the restriction of the irreducible finite dimensional GL_N -module of highest weight λ to G where $N = 2n + 1$ if $G = SO_{2n+1}$ and $N = 2n$ if $G = Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} . By replacing each module $V^G(\mu^{(p)})$ by $\mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(p)})$ in the definition of $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$, one obtains tensor product coefficients $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}$ which can also be expressed in terms of a partition function. Thus, they admit natural quantizations $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$. Note that this time the coefficients $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}$ and the polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ depend on the lie group G considered. We obtain a duality between the q -analogues $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ and the stable limit of the polynomials

$K_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q)$ associated to the Levi subgroup $GL_{\eta_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{\eta_r}$. In particular the polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ decomposes as nonnegative integer combination of polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ (Theorem 4.4.2) and have nonnegative integer coefficients when the $\mu^{(p)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights. Within each nonexceptional family of affine algebras, the one-dimensional sums have large rank limits which are called stable one-dimensional sums [16]. There exist four distinct kinds of stable one-dimensional sums $X^\diamond$ labelled by the symbols $\diamond = \emptyset, (1), (2), (1, 1)$. The stable one-dimensional sums of kind $\emptyset$ are related to $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ -affine crystals whereas the stable one-dimensional sums of kind $(1), (2), (1, 1)$ are defined from the other nonexceptional families of affine crystals. Then, according to Conjecture 5 of [17] giving the decomposition of $X^\diamond$ in terms of one-dimensional sums $X^\emptyset$, the three families of q -analogues $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$, $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ and SO_{2n} should coincide (up to a simple renormalization) respectively with the stable one-dimensional sums of kind $(1), (1, 1)$ and (2) associated to μ when the $\mu^{(p)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights. This means that it should be possible to extend the results of [12] which holds when the $\mu^{(p)}$'s are row partitions of decreasing heights to all stable one-dimensional sums by establishing Conjecture 5 of [17].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the necessary background on branching multiplicity formulas and Levi-subgroups for classical Lie groups. In particular we introduce the Kostant-type partition functions which permit to compute the branching coefficients we use in the sequel. Section 3 is concerned with the q -analogues of branching coefficients corresponding to the restrictions to Levi subgroups. We prove that they admit a stable limit which decompose as nonnegative integer combination of Poincaré polynomials when the Levi subgroup considered is isomorphic to a direct product of linear groups. In section 4, we use the Jacobi-Trudi type determinantal expressions for the Schur functions of classical type to derive a duality between the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ and the branching coefficients corresponding to the restriction of SO_{2n} to $SO_{2\eta_1} \times \cdots \times SO_{2\eta_r}$. This duality and the arguments used to prove it generalize the results of [11] (corresponding to the case when all the $\mu^{(p)}$'s are row partitions). We observe that the natural quantization of the multiplicities $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ may have negative coefficients. We then introduce the polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ and show how they are related to the q -analogues of the branching coefficients corresponding to the restriction of G to $GL_{\eta_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{\eta_r}$.

2 Background

2.1 Branching multiplicity formulas

In the sequel G is one of the complex Lie groups GL_n, Sp_{2n}, SO_{2n+1} or SO_{2n} and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. We follow the convention of [8] to realize G as a subgroup of GL_N and $\mathfrak{g}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ where

$$N = \begin{cases} n & \text{when } G = GL_n \\ 2n & \text{when } G = Sp_{2n} \\ 2n+1 & \text{when } G = SO_{2n+1} \\ 2n & \text{when } G = SO_{2n} \end{cases}.$$

With this convention the maximal torus T_G of G and the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_G$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ coincide respectively with the subgroup and the subalgebra of diagonal matrices of G and $\mathfrak{g}$. Similarly the Borel subgroup B_G of G and the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_G$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ coincide respectively with the subgroup and subalgebra of upper triangular matrices of G and $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let d_N be the linear subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ consisting of the diagonal matrices. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, write ε_i for the linear map $\varepsilon_i : d_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varepsilon_i(D) = \delta_i$ for any diagonal matrix D whose (i, i) -coefficient is δ_i . Then $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is an orthonormal basis of the Euclidean space $\mathfrak{h}_{G, \mathbb{R}}^*$ (the real part of $\mathfrak{h}_G^*$). Let R_G be the

root system associated to G . We can take for the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma_{GL(n)}^+ = \{\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ for the root system } A_{n-1}\} \\ \Sigma_{SO_{2n+1}}^+ = \{\alpha_n = \varepsilon_n \text{ and } \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ for the root system } B_n\} \\ \Sigma_{Sp_{2n}}^+ = \{\alpha_n = 2\varepsilon_n \text{ and } \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ for the root system } C_n\} \\ \Sigma_{SO_{2n}}^+ = \{\alpha_n = \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{ and } \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ for the root system } D_n\} \end{array} \right. . \quad (1)$$

Then the set of positive roots are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} R_{GL_n}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \text{ for the root system } A_{n-1} \\ R_{SO_{2n+1}}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ for the root system } B_n \\ R_{Sp_{2n}}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{2\varepsilon_i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ for the root system } C_n \\ R_{SO_{2n}}^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \text{ for the root system } D_n \end{array} \right. .$$

We denote by R_G the set of roots of G . The Weyl group of GL_n is the symmetric group S_n and for $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} , the Weyl group W_G of the Lie group G is the subgroup of the permutation group of the set $\{\bar{n}, \dots, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ generated by the permutations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_i = (i, i+1)(\bar{i}, \bar{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } s_n = (n, \bar{n}) \text{ for the root systems } B_n \text{ and } C_n \\ s_i = (i, i+1)(\bar{i}, \bar{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } s'_n = (n, \bar{n-1})(n-1, \bar{n}) \text{ for the root system } D_n \end{array} \right.$$

where for $a \neq b$ (a, b) is the simple transposition which switches a and b . For $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} , we identify the subgroup of W_G generated by $s_i = (i, i+1)(\bar{i}, \bar{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ with the symmetric group S_n . We denote by ℓ the length function corresponding to the above set of generators. The action of $w \in W_G$ on $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathfrak{h}_{G, \mathbb{R}}^*$ is defined by

$$w \cdot (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\beta_1^w, \dots, \beta_n^w)$$

where $\beta_i^w = \beta_{w(i)}$ if $\sigma(i) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\beta_i^w = -\beta_{w(\bar{i})}$ otherwise. We denote by ρ_G the half sum of the positive roots of R_G^+ . The dot action of W_G on $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ is defined by

$$w \circ \beta = w \cdot (\beta + \rho_G) - \rho_G. \quad (2)$$

Write P_G^+ for the cone of dominant weights of G . Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n$ the set of partitions with at most n parts. Each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ will be identified with the dominant weight $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$. Then the irreducible finite dimensional representations of G are parametrized by the partitions of $\mathcal{P}_n$. For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, denote by $V^G(\lambda)$ the irreducible finite dimensional representation of G of highest weight λ . In the sequel we will also need the irreducible rational representations of GL_n . They are indexed by the n -tuples

$$(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) = (\gamma_1^+, \gamma_2^+, \dots, \gamma_p^+, 0, \dots, 0, -\gamma_q^-, \dots, -\gamma_1^-) \quad (3)$$

where γ^+ and γ^- are partitions of length p and q such that $p+q \leq n$. Write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$ for the set of such n -tuples and denote also by $V^{GL_n}(\gamma)$ the irreducible rational representation of GL_n of highest weight $\gamma = (\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$. Consider a r -tuple $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ of positive integers summing n . Given $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a r -tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(p)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\eta_p}$ for $p = 1, \dots, r$, we denote by μ the n -tuple obtained by reading successively the parts of the partitions $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ from left to right.

As customary, we identify P_G the lattice of weights of G with a sublattice of $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})^n$. For any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in P_G$, we set $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$. We use for a basis of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$, the formal exponentials $(e^\beta)_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ satisfying the relations $e^{\beta_1} e^{\beta_2} = e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$. We furthermore introduce n independent indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in order to identify $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ with the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$ by writing $e^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} \dots x_n^{\beta_n} = x^\beta$ for any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we denote by s_λ^G the universal character of type G associated to λ and by $\mathcal{F}^G$ the ring of the universal characters of type G defined by Koike and Terada [6].

We now give a technical lemma that we will be led to use in the sequel. Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and G one of the Lie groups SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n} or SO_{2n} . Set $\kappa = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Lemma 2.1.1 *Let $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q]$ be a map such that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\mathcal{M}(\beta) = 0$ if $|\beta| < 0$. Then for any integer $k \geq \frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}$ we have:*

$$\sum_{w \in W_G} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{M}(w(\lambda + k\kappa + \rho_G) - \mu - k\kappa - \rho_G) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{M}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \mu - \rho) \quad (4)$$

where $\rho = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$.

Proof. Consider $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $w \in W_G$. Write $w(\delta) = (\delta_1^w, \dots, \delta_n^w)$ and set $E_w = \{i \mid w(i) \notin \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. Define the sum $S_{w,\delta} = \sum_{i \in E_w} \delta_{i_k}$. Then $|w(\delta)| = |\delta| - 2S_{w,\delta}$. Now consider k a nonnegative integer and set $\delta = (\lambda + \rho_G + k\kappa)$. We have $|w(\lambda + \rho_G + k\kappa)| = |(\lambda + \rho_G + k\kappa)| - 2S_{w,\delta}$. But $S_{w,\delta} = S_{w,\lambda+\rho_G} + kp$ where $p = \text{card}(E_w)$. Thus we obtain

$$|w(\lambda + \rho_G + k\kappa) - (\mu + \rho_G + k\kappa)| = |(\lambda + \rho_G + k\kappa)| - 2S_{w,\lambda+\rho_G} - |(\mu + \rho_G + k\kappa)| - 2kp = \\ |\lambda| - |\mu| - 2S_{w,\lambda+\rho_G} - 2kp.$$

When $w \notin S_n$, we have $p \geq 1$ and $S_{w,\lambda+\rho_G} \geq 1$ since the coordinates of $\lambda + \rho_G$ are all positive. Hence $|w(\lambda + \rho_G + k\kappa) - (\mu + \rho_G + k\kappa)| < |\lambda| - |\mu| - 2k$ and is negative as soon as $k \geq \frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}$. For such an integer k the sum defining the left hand side of the equality (4) normally running over W_G can be restricted to S_n . Moreover we can write $\rho_G = \rho + \varepsilon\kappa$ with $\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{2}, 0$ or 1 respectively for $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} . Since $\sigma(p\kappa) = p\kappa$ for any $p \in (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})^n$, this yields to the desired equality. ■

Let $G_0 \subset G$ be a complex Lie subgroup of G and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ its Lie algebra. We suppose in the sequel that G_0 is isomorphic to a product of classical Lie groups whose maximal torus T_0 is equal to T_G . Let $\mathfrak{h}_0$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. We have $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_G$, thus $\mathfrak{h}_0^* = \mathfrak{h}_G^*$. In particular we can consider the set R_0 of roots of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and its subset of positive roots R_0^+ respectively as subsets of R_G and R_G^+ . The partition function $\mathcal{P}_{G_0}$ associated to G_0 is defined by the formal identity

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R^+ - R_0^+} \frac{1}{1 - e^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \in P_G} \mathcal{P}^{G_0}(\beta) e^\beta. \quad (5)$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{G_0}$ coincide with the Kostant partition function when $G_0 = T_G$ (that is $R_0^+ = \emptyset$). Write $P_{G_0}^+$ for the cone of dominant weights of G_0 . For any λ in P_G^+ and μ in $P_{G_0}^+$ we denote by $[V(\lambda)^G : V(\mu)^{G_0}]$ the multiplicity of the irreducible G_0 -module $V(\mu)^{G_0}$ of highest weight μ in the restriction of the G -module $V(\lambda)^G$ to G_0 .

Theorem 2.1.2 *With the above notation we have*

$$[V(\lambda)^G : V(\mu)^{G_0}] = \sum_{w \in W_G} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}^{G_0}(w \circ \lambda - \mu).$$

We refer the reader to Theorem 8.2.1 of [4] for the proof.

2.2 Branching coefficients associated to Levi subgroups

Consider I a subset of Σ_G^+ the set of simple roots associated to the classical Lie algebra G . Denote by $\pi_{G,I}$ the standard parabolic subgroup of G (that is containing the Borel subgroup B_G) defined by I . Recall that the roots of $\pi_{G,I}$ are those of R_G^+ together with the negative roots of R_G which are $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of the simple roots contained in I . Write $L_{G,I}$ for the Levi subgroup of the parabolic $\pi_{G,I}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{G,I}$ its corresponding Lie algebra. Let $R_{G,I}$ be the subsystem of roots spanned by I and $R_{G,I}^+$ the subset of positive roots in $R_{G,I}$. Then $R_{G,I}$ and $R_{G,I}^+$ are respectively the set of roots and the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{l}_{G,I}$.

The Levi subgroup $L_{G,I}$ corresponds to the removal, in the Dynkin diagram of G , of the nodes which are not associated to a simple root belonging to I . Write

$$J = \Sigma_G^+ - I = \{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_r}\}$$

where for any $k = 1, \dots, r$, α_{j_k} is a simple root of Σ_G^+ and $j_1 < \dots < j_r$. Set $l_1 = j_1$, $l_k = j_k - j_{k-1}$, $k = 2, \dots, r$ and $l_{r+1} = n - j_r$. According to [7], the Levi group $L_{G,I}$ is isomorphic to a direct product of classical Lie groups determined by the $(r+1)$ -tuple $l_I = (l_1, \dots, l_{r+1})$ of nonnegative integers summing n . We give in the table below the direct product associated to each Levi group $L_{G,I}$.

1 : $G = GL_n$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_{r+1}}$	(6)
2 : $G = SO_{2n+1}$ and $l_{r+1} \geq 2$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r} \times SO_{2l_{r+1}+1}$	
3 : $G = Sp_{2n}$ and $l_{r+1} \geq 2$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r} \times Sp_{2l_{r+1}}$	
4 : $G = SO_{2n}$ and $l_{r+1} \geq 4$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r} \times SO_{2l_{r+1}}$	
5 : $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and $l_{r+1} = 0$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r}$	
6 : $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and $l_{r+1} = 1$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r} \times SL_2$	
7 : $G = SO_{2n}$ and $l_{r+1} = 2$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r} \times SL_2 \times SL_2$	
8 : $G = SO_{2n}$ and $l_{r+1} = 3$	$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \dots \times GL_{l_r} \times SL_4$	

Note that $l_{r+1} = p$ means that $\alpha_{j_r} = \alpha_{n-p}$. The factors of the decomposition of $L_{G,I}$ in a direct product of classical groups are giving by the connected components of the diagram obtained by removing the nodes corresponding to the simple roots $\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_r}$ in the Dynkin diagram of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Since the Levi group $L_{G,I}$ is isomorphic to a direct product of classical groups and contains the maximal torus T_G , we can define the partition function $\mathcal{P}_I$ associated to $G_0 = L_{G,I}$ as in (2.1) by the formal identity

$$\prod_{\alpha \in S_{G,I}} \frac{1}{1 - e^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}^{G,I}(\beta) e^\beta$$

where $S_{G,I} = R_G^+ - R_{G,I}^+$. Note that $S_{G,I}$ does not coincide in general with the subset of positive roots of R_G^+ obtained as $\mathbb{N}$ -linear combinations of the simple roots $\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_r}$. We describe in the table below, the sets $S_{G,I}$ corresponding to the decompositions of $L_{G,I}$ given in (6). Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_G &= \begin{cases} \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1} \\ \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} & \text{if } G = Sp_{2n+1} \\ \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ \Theta_G^* &= \begin{cases} \Theta_G - \{\varepsilon_n\} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1} \\ \Theta_G - \{2\varepsilon_n\} & \text{if } G = Sp_{2n+1} \\ \Theta_G - \{\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n\} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

1 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\}$
2 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } i \leq j_r\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_r\}$
3 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \text{ and } i \leq j_r\}$
4 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } i \leq j_r\}$
5 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \Theta_G$
6 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \Theta_G^*$
7 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \Theta_G^*$
8 : $S_{G,I} = \bigcup_{s=1}^r \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j_s < j \leq n\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } i \leq n-3\}$

Example 2.2.1 Consider $G = Sp_8$. We give below the 16 possible sets I and $S_{G,I}$ for each Levi subgroup $L_{G,I}$:

I	$L_{G,I}$	$S_{G,I}$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$	Sp_8	$\emptyset$
$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$	$GL_1 \times Sp_6$	$\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, 2\varepsilon_1$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$	$GL_2 \times Sp_4$	$\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$	$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times Sp_4$	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4\}$	$GL_3 \times SL_2$	$\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_4\}$	$GL_2 \times GL_1 \times SL_2$	$2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2$
$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_4\}$	$GL_1 \times GL_2 \times SL_2$	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_4\}$	$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times SL_2$	$\varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4, 2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_3$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$	GL_4	$\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$	$GL_1 \times GL_3$	$\varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4, 2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_3$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$	$GL_3 \times GL_1$	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}$	$GL_2 \times GL_2$	$\varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4, 2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_3$
$\{\alpha_3\}$	$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_2$	$\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_2\}$	$GL_1 \times GL_2 \times GL_1$	$\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, 2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_3, 2\varepsilon_4$
$\{\alpha_1\}$	$GL_2 \times GL_1 \times GL_1$	$\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_4$
$\emptyset$	$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1$	$\varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4, 2\varepsilon_1, 2\varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_3, 2\varepsilon_4$

Note that $GL_1 \times GL_2 \times SL_2 \simeq GL_1 \times GL_3$ and $GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times SL_2 \simeq GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_2$.

Suppose that the Levi subgroup $L_{G,I}$ decomposes following (6) in

$$L_{G,I} \simeq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_p \quad (7)$$

where the G_k 's are classical Lie groups. Write $P_{G,I}^+$ for the cone of dominant weights of $L_{G,I}$. The dominant weights of $P_{G,I}^+$ can be regarded as sequences $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)})$ such that $\mu^{(s)}$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l$ if $G_s = GL_l$ and $\mu^{(p)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_l$ if $G_p = SL_l, SO_{2l+1}, Sp_{2l}$ or SO_{2l} . Recall that, for such a dominant weight $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)})$, we denote by $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ the sequence obtained by reading successively the parts of $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}$ from left to right.

We deduce immediately from Theorem 2.1.2 the branching coefficients for the restriction of $V^G(\lambda)$ to the Levi subgroup $L_{G,I}$.

Theorem 2.2.2 Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in P_{G,I}^+$ then

$$[V(\lambda)^G : V(\boldsymbol{\mu})^{L_{G,I}}] = \sum_{w \in W_G} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}^{G,I}(w \circ \lambda - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

Note that $L_{G,I} \simeq GL_n$ when $I = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$. In this case, the branching coefficients $[V(\lambda)^G : V(\gamma)^{GL_n}]$ where $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$ can be expressed in terms of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\gamma,\lambda}^\nu$. For each classical group SO_{2n+1} , Sp_{2n} and SO_{2n} , set

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Theta_G} (1 - e^\alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} r_G(\beta) x^\beta. \quad (8)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n^{(1,1)}$ the sub-sets of $\mathcal{P}_n$ containing respectively the partitions with even rows and the partitions with even columns.

Proposition 2.2.3 *Consider $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l$. Then*

1. $[V(\nu)^{SO_{2n+1}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] = \sum_{w \in W_{B_n}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} r_{SO_{2n+1}}(w \circ \nu - (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\gamma, \delta}^\nu c_{\lambda^+, \lambda^-}^\delta,$
2. $[V(\nu)^{Sp_{2n}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] = \sum_{w \in W_{C_n}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} r_{Sp_{2n}}(w \circ \nu - (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} c_{\gamma, \delta}^\nu c_{\lambda^+, \lambda^-}^\delta,$
3. $[V(\nu)^{SO_{2n}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] = \sum_{w \in W_{D_n}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} r_{SO_{2n}}(w \circ \nu - (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(1,1)}} c_{\gamma, \delta}^\nu c_{\lambda^+, \lambda^-}^\delta.$

Proof. The right equalities of the Proposition are obtained by Theorem 2.2.2. The left follow from a classical result by Littlewood (see [13] appendix p 295). ■

Remark: When λ is a partition (that is $\lambda^+ = \lambda$ and $\lambda^- = \emptyset$) we have by the above proposition

$$\begin{cases} [V(\nu)^{SO_{2n+1}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\gamma, \lambda}^\nu \\ [V(\nu)^{Sp_{2n}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} c_{\gamma, \lambda}^\nu \\ [V(\nu)^{SO_{2n}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(1,1)}} c_{\gamma, \lambda}^\nu \end{cases}. \quad (9)$$

In particular for $\kappa = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and any nonnegative integer k we obtain

$$[V(\nu + k\kappa)^G : V(\lambda + k\kappa)^{GL_n}] = [V(\nu)^G : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}].$$

2.3 Branching coefficients associated to an orthogonal decomposition of the root system D_n

Consider a r -tuple $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ of positive integers summing n . We associate to η the orthogonal decomposition $D_\eta = D_{\eta_1} \cup \dots \cup D_{\eta_r}$ of the root system D_n such that for any $k = 1, \dots, r$

$$D_{\eta_k} = \{\pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \mid \eta_{k-1} + 1 \leq i < j \leq \eta_k\}$$

with $\eta_0 = 1$. Then SO_{2n} contains a subgroup SO_η such that

$$SO_\eta \simeq SO_{2\eta_1} \times \dots \times SO_{2\eta_r}.$$

Note that SO_η is not a Levi subgroup of SO_{2n} . The dominant weights of SO_η are the r -tuple of partitions $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ such that $\mu^{(k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, r$. Since SO_η contains the maximal torus of SO_{2n} we can apply Theorem 2.1.2 with $G_0 = SO_\eta$. The corresponding partition function is defined by the formal identity

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \prod_{(r,s) \in E_\eta} (1 - x_r x_s)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}^\eta(\beta) e^\beta. \quad (10)$$

where $E_\eta = \cup_{2 \leq p \leq r} \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{p-1} < j \leq n\}$.

Proposition 2.3.1 *Consider λ a partition and μ a dominant weight of SO_η . Then*

$$[V(\lambda)^{SO_{2n}} : V(\mu)^{SO_\eta}] = \sum_{w \in W_{D_n}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}^\eta(w \circ \lambda - \mu).$$

Remark: Although it is possible to obtain similar branching coefficients starting from orthogonal decompositions of the root systems B_n and C_n , we do not use them in the sequel.

3 Generalization of Lusztig q -analogues

3.1 Quantization of the partition functions associated to a Levi subgroup

Consider a classical group G and I a subset of Σ_G^+ . We associated to the Levi subgroup $L_{G,I}$ the q -partition function $\mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}$ defined from the formal identity

$$\prod_{\alpha \in S_{G,I}} \frac{1}{1 - qe^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\beta) e^\beta. \quad (11)$$

Definition 3.1.1 *Let λ be partition of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and μ a weight of $L_{G,I}$. We denote by $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ the polynomial*

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = \sum_{w \in W_G} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(w \circ \lambda - \mu). \quad (12)$$

Remark: Since $\mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\beta) = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|\beta| < 0$, we have $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) \neq 0$ only if $|\lambda| \geq |\mu|$.

When μ is a dominant weight of $L_{G,I}$, we deduce from Theorem 2.2.2 that the polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ is a q -analogue of the branching coefficient $[V(\lambda)^G : V(\mu)^{L_{G,I}}]$. When $I = \emptyset$, that is when $S_{G,I}$ contains all the simple roots of G , $L_{G,I}$ coincide with the maximal torus of G , thus $P_{G,I}^+ = P_G^+$ and $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ is the Lusztig q -analogue associated to the weight μ in $V(\lambda)^G$. If we suppose that μ is a partition, it is known [2] that $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ has nonnegative integer coefficients.

The polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ can also be defined from the Hilbert series of the Euler characteristic associated to certain graded virtual G -modules χ_μ . When μ is a dominant weight stable under the action of the Weyl group of $L_{G,I}$, Broer has proved in Theorem 2.2 of [1] that the higher cohomology vanishes in the Euler characteristic associated to χ_μ . In this case, by the use of the Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem, its graded formal character has a nonnegative expansion on $\{e^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$. This implies in particular that $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ has nonnegative coefficients. Note that the results of [1] does not require that G is a classical Lie group. In the context of this article, the dominant weight $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ is stable under the action of the Weyl group of $L_{G,I}$ if and only if the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights and $\mu^{(r)} = 0$ when $L_{G,I}$ is not a direct product of linear groups. This yields to the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1.2 *(from [1]) Consider $L_{G,I}$ a Levi subgroup of the classical lie group G . Let λ be a partition of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a dominant weight of $L_{G,I}$ such that the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights with $\mu^{(r)} = 0$ when $L_{G,I}$ is not a direct product of linear groups. Then $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ has nonnegative coefficients.*

When $G = GL_n$, the polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q)$ can also be interpreted as a q -analogue of the generalized Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ giving the multiplicity of $V(\lambda)^{GL_n}$ in $V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(r)})$. Suppose that the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions and denote by $X_{\lambda,\mu}^\emptyset(q)$ the one-dimensional sum defined from the affine $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ -crystal B_μ associated to μ and the partition λ ([5]). In fact $X_{\lambda,\mu}^\emptyset(q)$ is defined up to a power of q depending on the normalization of the energy function H_μ chosen on the vertices of B_μ . By using a Morris-type recurrence formula for the Poincaré polynomials and a combinatorial description of the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q)$, Shimozono has obtained the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1.3 [15] *Let λ be a partition of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a dominant weight of $L_{G,I}$ such that the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights. Then*

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q) = q^* X_{\lambda,\mu}^\emptyset(q)$$

where q^* is a power of q depending on the normalization chosen for H_μ .

Remarks:

(i) : Theorem 3.1.3 gives in particular a combinatorial proof of the positivity of the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q)$. In the next paragraph we will use this result to derive the positivity of the stable limits $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ independently of Theorem 3.1.2.

(ii) : Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.3, it is conjectured that the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q)$ coincide with the q -analogues of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients introduced by Lascoux Leclerc and Thibon [10].

Numerous computations lead to conjecture that the positivity result of Theorem 3.1.2 can be extended to the case when the r -tuple μ associated to the dominant weight $\mu \in P_{G,I}^+$ is a partition.

Conjecture 3.1.4 *Let λ be partition of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and μ a dominant weight of $L_{G,I}$ such that μ is a partition. Then $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ has nonnegative coefficients.*

I was informed that an equivalent statement of this conjecture appeared for the first time in unpublished notes by Broer. In the terminology of [1], it is indeed equivalent to say that higher cohomology vanishes in χ_μ when μ is a dominant weight.

Example 3.1.5 *Continuing Example 2.2.1 with $G = Sp_8$, $\lambda = (4, 2, 2, 1)$ and $\mu = (3, 1, 1, 0)$, we obtain the following polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$*

$L_{G,I}$	$K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$
Sp_8	0
$GL_1 \times Sp_6$	0
$GL_2 \times Sp_4$	$2q^4$
$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times Sp_4$	$q^3 + 2q^2$
$GL_3 \times SL_2$	$q^3 + q^2$
$GL_2 \times GL_1 \times SL_2$	$3q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2$
$GL_1 \times GL_2 \times SL_2$	$q^4 + 2q^3 + q^2$
$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times SL_2$	$2q^5 + 4q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2$
GL_4	q^2
$GL_1 \times GL_3$	$q^3 + q^2$
$GL_3 \times GL_1$	$q^3 + 2q^3 + q^2$
$GL_2 \times GL_2$	$3q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2$
$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_2$	$2q^5 + 4q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2$
$GL_1 \times GL_2 \times GL_1$	$q^5 + 2q^4 + 3q^2 + q^2$
$GL_2 \times GL_1 \times GL_1$	$q^7 + 2q^6 + 3q^5 + 4q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2$
$GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1$	$q^8 + 2q^7 + 3q^6 + 4q^5 + 5q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2$

When $L_{G,I} = GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1$, $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ is the Lusztig q -analogue for the root system C_4 associated to the partitions λ and μ . Note also that the polynomials corresponding to the isomorphic Levi subgroups $GL_1 \times GL_2 \times SL_2 \simeq GL_1 \times GL_3$ and $GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_1 \times SL_2 \simeq GL_1 \times GL_1 \times GL_2$ are equal.

In the sequel we will also led to consider another family of q -analogues for the branching coefficients $[V(\lambda)^{SO_{2n+1}} : V(\mu)^{L,SO_{2n+1}}]$ obtained from the partition function $\mathcal{P}_{q,h}^{SO_{2n+1},I}$ defined by the expansion

$$\prod_{\alpha \in S_{SO_{2n+1},I}} \frac{1}{1 - q^{h(\alpha)} e^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_{q,h}^{SO_{2n+1},I}(\beta) e^\beta$$

where $h(\alpha) = 2$ if $\alpha = \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $h(\alpha) = 1$ otherwise.

Definition 3.1.6 Let λ be partition of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and μ a dominant weight of $L_{SO_{2n+1}, I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(q)$ the polynomial

$$\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(q) = \sum_{w \in W_{B_n}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}_{q, h}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(w \circ \lambda - \mu).$$

We have clearly $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(1) = K_{\lambda, \mu}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(1)$. Note that the polynomials $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(q)$ can have negative coefficients even if the hypotheses of Conjecture 3.1.4 are verified. Nevertheless we are going to see that they admit a stable limit which decompose as nonnegative combination of Poincaré polynomials. This is not the case for the polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(q)$ which implies that they can not coincide with one-dimensional sums (see Conjecture 4.4.3). This situation is analogous to that observed in [12] where the one-dimensional sums considered are, for affine crystals of kind (1), equal to Lusztig q -analogues related to affine Hecke algebras of type B_n with parameters q and q^2 .

3.2 Stable limit

With the notation of the above paragraph, we define the stable limit $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q)$ by setting

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_{q, h}^{G, I}(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu) & \text{if } G = GL_n, Sp_{2n} \text{ or } SO_{2n} \\ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_{q, h}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu) & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

This is an expression for G a classical Lie group, but the sum runs over the parabolic subgroup of W_G generated by the s_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ which is a copy of the Weyl group of the root system A_{n-1} . We have $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q) = K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ since the Weyl group of GL_n is the symmetric group S_n .

Lemma 3.2.1 Consider λ, μ two partitions of length n such that $|\lambda| \geq |\mu|$. Let k be any integer such that $k \geq \frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q) = \begin{cases} K_{\lambda + k\kappa, \mu + k\kappa}^{G, I}(q) & \text{when } G = GL_n, Sp_{2n} \text{ or } SO_{2n} \\ \mathcal{K}_{\lambda + k\kappa, \mu + k\kappa}^{SO_{2n+1}, I}(q) & \text{when } G = SO_{2n+1} \end{cases}.$$

where $\kappa = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Proof. Suppose $G = GL_n, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} . For any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have $\mathcal{P}_q^{G, I}(\beta) = 0$ if β is not a linear combination of the positive roots of $S_{G, I}$ with nonnegative coefficients. This implies that $\mathcal{P}_q^{G, I}(\beta) = 0$ if $|\beta| < 0$. Then the Lemma is a consequence of Lemma 2.1.1 applied with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}_q^{G, I}$. We proceed similarly for $G = SO_{2n+1}$ by using $\mathcal{P}_{q, h}^{G, I}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_q^{SO_{2n+1}, I}$. ■

Remark: Since $\sigma(\kappa) = \kappa$ for any $\sigma \in S_n$, we have the following stability property

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda + k\kappa, \mu + k\kappa}^{G, I}(q) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q) \text{ for any integer } k$$

which justifies the above terminology. So we can extend the definition of $\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}^{G, I}(q)$ when λ and μ are decreasing sequences of integers (positive or not).

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define the polynomial $K_{\xi, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ by replacing, in (12) the partition λ by ξ . There exists a straightening procedure for the polynomials $K_{\xi, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ which follows immediately from the fact that the set $\{\sigma \circ \xi \mid \sigma \in S_n\}$ (see (2)) intersects at most one time the cone of dominant weights of GL_n .

Lemma 3.2.2 Consider μ and ξ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then

$$\begin{cases} K_{\xi, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q) = (-1)^{\ell(\tau)} K_{\nu, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q) & \text{if } \xi = \tau \circ (\nu) \text{ with } \tau \in S_n \text{ and } \nu \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n = \{\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_n\}$.

In the sequel of this paragraph we restrict ourselves to the case when $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and $l_{r+1} = 0$ (with the notation of paragraph 2.2). This corresponds to the decomposition 5 given in table (6), that is we suppose that I does not contains the simple root α_n . In this case

$$L_{G,I} \simeq GL_{l_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{l_r}.$$

and I is also a subset of $\Sigma_{GL_n}^+$, thus determinates a Levi subgroup of GL_n which is isomorphic to $L_{G,I}$. Moreover we have $S_{G,I} = S_{GL_n,I} \cup \Theta_G$. The q -partition functions $\mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}$, $G = Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and $\mathcal{P}_{q,h}^{SO_{2n+1},I}$ can be expressed in terms of the q -partition $\mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}$:

Lemma 3.2.3 *For any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) : } \mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\beta) = q^{|\beta|/2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta|=|\beta|} r_G(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\beta - \delta) \text{ for } G = Sp_{2n} \text{ or } SO_{2n} \\ \text{(ii) : } \mathcal{P}_{q,h}^{SO_{2n+1},I}(\beta) = q^{|\beta|/2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta|=|\beta|} r_{SO_{2n+1}}(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\beta - \delta) \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. (i) : The q -partition function $\mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}$ is defined by

$$\prod_{\alpha \in S_{GL_n,I}} (1 - qe^\alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\gamma) e^\gamma$$

and since $S_{G,I} = S_{GL_n,I} \cup \Theta_G$ the q -partition function $\mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}$ verifies

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\beta) e^\beta = \prod_{\alpha \in \Theta_G} (1 - qe^\alpha)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in S_{GL_n,I}} (1 - qe^\alpha)^{-1}$$

and we derive from (8)

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Theta_G} (1 - qe^\alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} q^{|\delta|/2} r_G(\delta) e^\delta \quad (14)$$

since the number of roots appearing in a decomposition of $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as a sum of positive roots $\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s$ with $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ or $2\varepsilon_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ is always equal to $|\delta|/2$. Thus we obtain

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\beta) e^\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} q^{|\delta|/2} \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\gamma) r_G(\delta) e^{\delta+\gamma}.$$

We derive the equality $\mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\beta) = \sum_{\gamma+\delta=\beta} r_G(\delta) q^{|\delta|/2} \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\gamma)$. Since the set $S_{GL_n,I}$ contains only positive roots α with $|\alpha| = 0$, we will have $\mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\gamma) = 0$ when $|\gamma| \neq 0$. So we can suppose $|\gamma| = 0$ and $|\delta| = |\beta|$ in the previous sum.

(ii) : Since $h(\alpha) = 2$ when $|\alpha| = 1$ we can also write

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Theta_{SO_{2n+1}}} (1 - q^{h(\alpha)} e^\alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} q^{|\delta|/2} r_{SO_{2n+1}}(\delta) e^\delta.$$

Then we derive (ii) by proceeding as in (i). ■

Remark: A similar result for the q -partition function $\mathcal{P}_q^{SO_{2n+1},I}$ does not exit. Indeed the number of roots appearing in a decomposition of $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as a sum of positive roots $\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s$ with $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ and ε_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ does not depend only of $|\delta|$ since $|\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s| \neq |\varepsilon_i|$.

Theorem 3.2.4 *Suppose $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and $l_{r+1} = 0$ and consider $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $|\lambda| \geq |\mu|$. Then for any integer $k \geq \frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}$ we have:*

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = q^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n} [V(\lambda + k\kappa)^G : V(\gamma + k\kappa)^{GL_n}] K_{\gamma,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q).$$

Proof. We only give the proof for $G = Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} , the case $G = SO_{2n+1}$ is similar. We have

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{G,I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)).$$

Hence from the previous lemma we derive

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\beta|} r_G(\delta) q^{|\beta|/2} \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \delta + \rho))$$

where $\beta = \sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)$ in the second sum. Since $|\beta| = |\lambda| - |\mu|$, we obtain

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = q^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\lambda| - |\mu|} r_G(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho - \sigma^{-1}(\delta)) - (\mu + \rho))$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, we have $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^n) = \mathbb{N}^n$ and $r_G(\delta) = r_G(\sigma(\delta))$ since $\sigma(\Theta_G) = \Theta_G$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) &= q^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\lambda| - |\mu|} r_G(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n,I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho - \delta) - (\mu + \rho)) = \\ &= q^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\lambda| - |\mu|} r_G(\delta) K_{\lambda - \delta, \mu}^{GL_n,I}(q). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now by Lemma 3.2.2, $K_{\lambda - \delta, \mu}^{GL_n,I}(q) = 0$ or there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$ such that $\gamma = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\lambda - \delta)$. Then we have $|\gamma| = |\lambda| - |\delta| = |\mu|$ and $\delta = \lambda + \rho - \sigma(\gamma + \rho)$. It follows that

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = q^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n} r_G(\lambda + \rho - \sigma(\gamma + \rho)) K_{\gamma,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q).$$

Since $c(\delta) = c(\sigma(\delta))$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we obtain the equality

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q) = q^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} r_G(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\gamma + \rho)) K_{\gamma,\mu}^{GL_n,I}(q).$$

We deduce from Lemma 2.1.1 applied with $\mathcal{M} = r_G$ and from Proposition 2.2.3 that the equality

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} r_G(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\gamma + \rho)) = [V(\lambda + k\kappa)^G : V(\gamma + k\kappa)^{GL_n}]$$

holds for any integer $k \geq \frac{|\lambda| - |\gamma|}{2} = \frac{|\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}$. This yields to the desired equality. ■

By using Theorem 3.1.3 we obtain immediately

Corollary 3.2.5 *Suppose $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} and $l_{r+1} = 0$. Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}) \in P_{G,I}^+$ such that the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights. Then $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,I}(q)$ have nonnegative coefficients.*

Remark: When $G = Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} , Lemma 3.2.1 implies that the above corollary can be regarded as a particular case of Theorem 3.1.2. Since the polynomials $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\mu}^{SO_{2n+1},I}(q)$ are generalized Lusztig q -analogues defined by using the parameters q and q^2 , we can not deduce their positivity from the results of Broer.

4 Some dualities between tensor product and branching coefficients

4.1 Determinantal identities and operators on formal series

Consider $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m > 0$. When k is a nonnegative integer, write $(k)_n = (k, 0, \dots, 0)$ for the partition of length n with a unique non-zero part equal to k . Then set $h_k^G = s_{(k)_n}^G$ if $k \geq 0$ and $h_k^G = 0$ otherwise where $s_{(k)_n}^G$ is the universal character of Koike and Terada associated to $(k)_n$ for the Lie group G . Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ define

$$u_\alpha^G = \det \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha_1}^G & h_{\alpha_1+1}^G + h_{\alpha_1-1}^G & \cdots & h_{\alpha_1+m-1}^G + h_{\alpha_1-m+1}^G \\ h_{\alpha_2-1}^G & h_{\alpha_2}^G + h_{\alpha_2-2}^G & \cdots & h_{\alpha_2+m-2}^G + h_{\alpha_2-m}^G \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\alpha_m-m+1}^G & h_{\alpha_m-m+2}^G + h_{\alpha_m-m}^G & \cdots & h_{\alpha_m}^G + h_{\alpha_m-2m+2}^G \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

The following proposition is a well known analogue of the Jacobi-Trudi determinantal formula for $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} .

Proposition 4.1.1 (see [3] §24.2) *Consider λ a partition with at most m nonzero parts. Then for $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} we have $u_\lambda^G = s_\lambda^G$.*

By using elementary permutations on rows in the determinant (16) we obtain the straightening law for u_α^G :

Lemma 4.1.2 *Consider $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ then*

$$u_\alpha^G = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} s_\lambda^G & \text{if there exists } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m \text{ such that } \sigma \circ \alpha = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathbb{K}[[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]]$ the vector space of formal Laurent series in the indeterminates $x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}$. We identify the ring of Laurent polynomials $L_n = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ with the subspace of $\mathcal{L}_n$ containing the finite formal series. The vector space $\mathcal{L}_n$ is not a ring since the formal series are in the two directions. More precisely, the product $F_1 \cdots F_r$ of the formal series $F_i = \sum_{\beta_i \in E_i} x^{\beta_i}$ $i = 1, \dots, r$ is defined if and only if, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the number N_γ of decompositions $\gamma = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_r$ such that $\beta_i \in E_i$ is finite and in this case we have

$$F_1 \cdots F_r = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n} N_\gamma x^\gamma.$$

In particular the product $P \cdot F$ with $P \in L_n$ and $F \in \mathcal{L}_n$ is well defined.

Consider $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. We set $h_\alpha^G = h_{\alpha_1}^G \cdots h_{\alpha_m}^G$. Let $K = [k_1, \dots, k_m] \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the interval containing the m consecutive integers $k_1 < \cdots < k_m$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_K \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ the vector space of formal Laurent formal series in the indeterminates $x_{k_1}, x_{k_1}^{-1}, \dots, x_{k_m}, x_{k_m}^{-1}$. We define the determinant

$$\delta_K(\alpha) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{k_1}^{\alpha_1} & x_{k_1+1}^{\alpha_1} + x_{k_1-1}^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & x_{k_1+m-1}^{\alpha_1} + x_{k_1-m+1}^{\alpha_1} \\ x_{k_2}^{\alpha_2+1} & x_{k_2}^{\alpha_2} + x_{k_2-2}^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & x_{k_2+m-2}^{\alpha_2} + x_{k_2-m}^{\alpha_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{k_m}^{\alpha_m-m+1} & x_{k_m}^{\alpha_m-m+2} + x_{k_m-m}^{\alpha_m-m} & \cdots & x_{k_m}^{\alpha_m} + x_{k_m-2m+2}^{\alpha_m-m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Set

$$\delta_K = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(1 - \frac{x_{k_i}}{x_{k_j}}\right) \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x_{k_r} x_{k_s}}\right)$$

Then $\delta_K(\alpha)$ and δ_K belong to $\mathcal{L}_K$. From a simple computation we derive the equality:

$$\delta_K(\alpha) = \delta_K \cdot x_{k_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{k_m}^{\alpha_m}. \quad (17)$$

Consider $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ a r -tuple of positive integers summing n . We define from η the intervals $K_1, \dots, K_r$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by setting $K_1 = [1, \dots, \eta_1]$ and for any $p = 2, \dots, r$, $K_p = [\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{p-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_p]$. Write $\delta_\eta = \delta_{K_1} \cdots \delta_{K_r}$.

Set

$$\delta = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}) \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (1 - \frac{1}{x_r x_s}).$$

Giving $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, set $\beta^{(1)} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\eta_1})$ and $\beta^{(p)} = (\beta_{\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{p-1} + 1}, \dots, \beta_{\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_p})$ for any $p = 2, \dots, r$. The number of decompositions

$$\beta = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{i,j}(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) - \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} b_{r,s}(\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s)$$

with $a_{i,j}$ and $b_{r,s}$ some positive integers is finite. Thus δ^{-1} is well defined and belongs to $\mathcal{L}_n$. We introduce the linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U_{G,\eta} : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^G \\ x^\beta \mapsto u_{\beta^{(1)}}^G \cdots u_{\beta^{(r)}}^G \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U_G : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^G \\ x^\beta \mapsto u_\beta^G \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_G : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^G \\ x^\beta \mapsto h_\beta^G \end{array} \right\} \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta_\eta : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \\ x^\beta \mapsto \delta_\eta \cdot x^\beta \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \\ x^\beta \mapsto \delta^{-1} \cdot x^\beta \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Note that these maps are not ring homomorphisms.

Lemma 4.1.3 *Let $K = [k_1, \dots, k_m] \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the interval containing the m consecutive integers $k_1 < \dots < k_m$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ we have $H_G(\delta_K x_{k_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{k_m}^{\alpha_m}) = u_\alpha^G$.*

Proof. To simplify the notation we set $x_{k_i} = y_i$ for any $k = 1, \dots, m$. The linear map H_G is not a ring homomorphism. Nevertheless, if $P_1, \dots, P_k$ are polynomials respectively in the indeterminates $y_1, \dots, y_m$, we have

$$H_G(P_1(y_1) \cdots P_k(y_m)) = H_G(P_1(y_1)) \cdots H_G(P_k(y_m))$$

by linearity of H_G . We can write

$$\delta_K(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} y_{\sigma(1)}^{\alpha_1 - \sigma(1) + 1} (y_{\sigma(2)}^{\alpha_2 - \sigma(2) + 2} + y_{\sigma(2)}^{\alpha_2 - \sigma(2)}) \cdots (y_{\sigma(m)}^{\alpha_m - \sigma(m) + m} + y_{\sigma(m)}^{\alpha_m - \sigma(m) - m + 2})$$

and by the previous argument

$$H_G(\delta_K(\alpha)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} h_{\alpha_1 - \sigma(1) + 1} \cdots (h_{\alpha_m - \sigma(m) + m} + h_{\alpha_m - \sigma(m) - m + 2}) = u_\alpha^G$$

where the last equality follows from (16). By (17) we have $\delta_K(\alpha) = \delta_K y^\alpha$. Thus by applying H_G to this equality we obtain $H_G(\delta_K y^\alpha) = u_\alpha^G$. ■

Proposition 4.1.4 *We have*

$$(i) : U_{G,\eta} = H_G \circ \Delta_\eta, (ii) : H_G = U_G \circ \nabla \text{ and } (iii) : U_{G,\eta} = U_G \circ \nabla \circ \Delta_\eta$$

Proof. (i) : Consider $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. If $P_1, \dots, P_k$ are polynomials in the indeterminates belonging respectively to the sets $\{x_{i_1} \mid i_1 \in K_1\}, \dots, \{x_{i_r} \mid i_r \in K_r\}$ we have as in the proof of the previous lemma

$$H_G(P_1 \cdots P_k) = H_G(P_1) \cdots H_G(P_k).$$

Since $\Delta_\eta(x^\beta) = \delta_{K_1}x^{\beta^{(1)}} \cdots \delta_{K_r}x^{\beta^{(r)}}$ where the polynomials $\delta_{K_p}x^{\beta^{(p)}}$, $p = 1, \dots, r$ are respectively in the variables $\{x_{i_1} \mid i_1 \in K_1\}, \dots, \{x_{i_r} \mid i_r \in K_r\}$ we can write

$$H_G \circ \Delta_\eta(x^\beta) = H_G(\delta_{K_1}x^{\beta^{(1)}}) \cdots H_G(\delta_{K_r}x^{\beta^{(r)}}).$$

By applying Lemma 4.1.3, we derive $H_G \circ \Delta_\eta(x^\beta) = u_{\beta^{(1)}}^G \cdots u_{\beta^{(r)}}^G = U_{G,\eta}(x^\beta)$.

(ii) : Consider the linear map

$$\begin{cases} \Delta : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \\ x^\beta \mapsto \delta \cdot x^\beta \end{cases}.$$

Then by Lemma 4.1.3 applied with $K = \{1, \dots, n\}$ we will have $H_G \circ \Delta = U_G$. Now for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ it is clear that $\Delta \circ \nabla(x^\beta) = x^\beta$. This implies that $U_G \circ \nabla(x^\beta) = H_G \circ \Delta \circ \nabla(x^\beta) = H_G(x^\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and (ii) is proved.

(iii) is a straightforward consequence of (i) and (ii). ■

Now we have the equality

$$\delta^{-1} \cdot \delta_\eta = \prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^{-1} \prod_{(r,s) \in E_\eta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x_r x_s}\right)^{-1} \quad (19)$$

where $E_\eta = \cup_{2 \leq p \leq r} \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_{p-1} < j \leq n\}$. In particular $\delta^{-1} \cdot \delta_\eta$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_n$. Set

$$\delta^{-1} \cdot \delta_\eta = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\beta) x^\beta. \quad (20)$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ be a r -tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, r$. For $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} , the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ are defined by the equality

$$s_{\mu^{(1)}}^G \cdots s_{\mu^{(r)}}^G = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda s_\lambda^G.$$

This means that the coefficient $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ gives the multiplicity of the irreducible G -module $V^G(\lambda)$ in the tensor product $V^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V^G(\mu^{(r)})$ thus is a nonnegative integer.

Proposition 4.1.5 *With the above notation we have*

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is obtained by reading successively the parts of the partitions $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ defining $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ from left to right.

Proof. By (iii) of Proposition 4.1.4, we have $U_{G,\eta} = U_G \circ \nabla \circ \Delta_\eta$. Since $\nabla \circ \Delta_\eta(x^\mu) = \delta^{-1} \cdot \delta_\eta \cdot x^\mu$, we obtain by (20)

$$U_{G,\eta}(x^\mu) = u_{\mu^{(1)}}^G \cdots u_{\mu^{(r)}}^G = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\beta) u_{\beta+\mu}^G.$$

Now by using Lemma 4.1.2 we derive

$$s_{\mu^{(1)}}^G \cdots s_{\mu^{(r)}}^G = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu) s_\lambda^G$$

and the proposition is proved. ■

Remark: When $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ is a r -tuple of partitions such that $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we recover from the above proposition that the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ do not depend on the Lie group $G = SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n}$ or SO_{2n} considered.

4.2 A duality for the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$

We define the involution ι on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by setting $\iota(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (-\beta_n, \dots, -\beta_1)$ for any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ be a r -tuple of positive integers summing n . Set $\bar{\eta} = (\eta_r, \dots, \eta_1)$.

Lemma 4.2.1 *For any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}^\eta(\beta) = \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\iota(\beta))$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}^\eta$ are respectively the partition functions defined in (10) and (20).

Proof. By abuse of notation we also denote by ι the ring automorphism of $\mathcal{L}_n$ defined by $\iota(x^\beta) = x^{\iota(\beta)}$. By applying ι to the identity

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^{-1} \prod_{(r,s) \in E_\eta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x_r x_s}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\beta) x^\beta$$

we obtain

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in E_{\bar{\eta}}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^{-1} \prod_{(r,s) \in E_{\bar{\eta}}} (1 - x_r x_s)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\beta) x^{\iota(\beta)} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\beta) x^\beta$$

where $E_{\bar{\eta}} = \cup_{2 \leq p \leq r} \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \bar{\eta}_1 + \dots + \bar{\eta}_{p-1} < j \leq n\}$. This implies $\mathcal{Q}^\eta(\beta) = \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\iota(\beta))$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. ■

Given $\sigma \in S_n$, denote by $\bar{\sigma}$ the permutation defined by

$$\bar{\sigma}(k) = \sigma(n - k + 1).$$

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have $\bar{s}_i = s_{n-i}$. The following Lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 4.2.2 *The map $\sigma \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$ is an involution of the group S_n . Moreover we have $\sigma(\iota(\beta)) = \iota(\bar{\sigma}(\beta))$ and $\ell(\sigma) = \ell(\bar{\sigma})$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \sigma \in S_n$.*

Lemma 4.2.3 *Let λ, μ two partitions of length n and $\sigma \in S_n$. Then*

$$(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)) = (-1)^{\ell(\bar{\sigma})} \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\bar{\sigma}(\iota(\lambda) + \rho) - (\iota(\mu) + \rho))$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the identity

$$\mathcal{Q}^\eta(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)) = \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\bar{\sigma}(\iota(\lambda) + \rho) - (\iota(\mu) + \rho))$$

Set $P = \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\bar{\sigma}(\iota(\lambda) + \rho) - (\iota(\mu) + \rho))$. From the above Lemma we deduce

$$P = \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\iota(\sigma(\lambda)) + \bar{\sigma}(\rho) - \iota(\mu) - \rho).$$

Now an immediate computation shows that $\bar{\sigma}(\rho) - \rho = \iota(\sigma(\rho) - \rho)$. Thus we derive

$$P = \mathcal{P}^{\bar{\eta}}(\iota(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \mu - \rho)) = \mathcal{Q}^\eta(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \mu - \rho)$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.2.1. ■

Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a r -tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, r$. Recall that $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is the n -tuple obtained by reading successively the parts of the partitions $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ defining $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ from left to right. Let a be the minimal integer such that

$$\hat{\lambda} = (a - \lambda_n, \dots, a - \lambda_1) \text{ and } \hat{\mu} = (a - \mu_n, \dots, a - \mu_1) \quad (21)$$

belong to $\mathbb{N}^n$. Then $\widehat{\lambda}$ is a partition of length n . Set $\overline{\eta} = (\overline{\eta}_1, \dots, \overline{\eta}_r)$ and denote by $\widehat{\mu} = (\widehat{\mu}^{(1)}, \dots, \widehat{\mu}^{(r)})$ the r -tuple of partitions such that $\widehat{\mu}^{(1)} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\overline{\eta}_1}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\overline{\eta}_1}$ and $\widehat{\mu}^{(p)} = (\mu_{\overline{\eta}_1 + \dots + \overline{\eta}_{p-1} + 1}, \dots, \mu_{\overline{\eta}_1 + \dots + \overline{\eta}_p}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\overline{\eta}_p}$ for any $k = 2, \dots, r$. The following proposition shows that the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda}$ defined above are branching coefficients associated to the restriction from the orthogonal group SO_{2n} to the subgroup $SO_{\overline{\eta}} \simeq SO_{\overline{\eta}_1} \times \dots \times SO_{\overline{\eta}_r}$ defined in 2.3.

Proposition 4.2.4 *With the above notation, we have for any integer $k \geq \frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}$*

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda} = [V(\widehat{\lambda} + k\kappa)^{SO_{2n}} : V(\widehat{\mu} + k\kappa)^{SO_{\overline{\eta}}}] \quad (22)$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda}$ and the above lemma that

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{Q}^{\eta}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \mu - \rho) = \sum_{\overline{\sigma} \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\overline{\sigma})} \mathcal{P}^{\overline{\eta}}(\overline{\sigma}(\iota(\lambda) + \rho)) - (\iota(\mu) + \rho)).$$

Then by Lemma 4.2.2 we obtain

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}^{\overline{\eta}}(\sigma(\iota(\lambda) + \rho)) - (\iota(\mu) + \rho)).$$

We have $\sigma(\iota(\lambda) + \rho + a\kappa) = \sigma(\iota(\lambda) + \rho) + a\kappa$ since $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\kappa = (1, \dots, 1)$. So we can write

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}^{\overline{\eta}}(\sigma(\iota(\lambda) + a\kappa + \rho)) - (\iota(\mu) + a\kappa + \rho)).$$

Since $\widehat{\lambda} = \iota(\lambda) + a\kappa$ and $\widehat{\mu} = \iota(\mu) + a\kappa$ we derive

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}^{\overline{\eta}}(\sigma(\widehat{\lambda} + \rho) - (\widehat{\mu} + \rho)).$$

Now by using Lemma 2.1.1 with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}^{\overline{\eta}}$ and Proposition 2.3.1 we obtain

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}^{\overline{\eta}}(\sigma(\widehat{\lambda} + \rho) - (\widehat{\mu} + \rho)) = [V(\widehat{\lambda} + k\kappa)^{SO_{2n}} : V(\widehat{\mu} + k\kappa)^{SO_{\overline{\eta}}}]$$

for any integer $k \geq \frac{|\widehat{\lambda}| - |\widehat{\mu}|}{2} = \frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}$ and the Proposition is proved. ■

Remarks:

(i) : The previous proposition can be regarded as an analogue for the Lie groups SO_{2n+1} , Sp_{2n} and SO_{2n} of the duality

$$c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda} = [V(\lambda)^{GL_n} : V(\mu)^{GL_{\eta}}] \quad (23)$$

between the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda}$ giving the multiplicity of $V(\lambda)^{GL_n}$ in the tensor product $V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(r)})$ and the branching coefficient of the restriction of $V(\lambda)^{GL_n}$ to the Levi subgroup $GL_{\eta} = GL_{\eta_1} \times \dots \times GL_{\eta_k}$. Note that this duality can be proved by similar methods than those used in this paragraph starting from Jacobi-Trudi's determinantal expression for the Schur function $s_{\lambda}^{GL_n} = \text{char}(V^{GL_n}(\lambda))$ instead of (16).

(ii) : When all the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are row partitions, (23) simply express the Schur-Weyl duality between the dimension of the weight space μ in $V^{GL_n}(\lambda)$ and the multiplicity of $V^{GL_n}(\lambda)$ in the tensor product of the symmetric powers of the vector representation of GL_n associated to μ . Similarly, in this particular case, (22) reduce to the duality already observed in [11].

4.3 Quantization of the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$

Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ a r -tuple of positive integers summing n and $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a r -tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, r$. We have seen in paragraph 3.1 that it is possible to define natural q -analogues of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ from the duality (23) by setting

$$c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda(q) = K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q). \quad (24)$$

where the polynomials $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ are the generalized Lusztig q -analogues of Definition 3.1.1. When the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights, the polynomials $c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda(q)$ have nonnegative coefficients by Theorem 3.1.2. It is tempting to define q -analogues of the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$ by setting

$$d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{Q}_q^\eta(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu)$$

where the q -partition function $\mathcal{Q}_q^\eta$ verifies

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - q \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \prod_{(r,s) \in E_\eta} (1 - q \frac{1}{x_r x_s})^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{Q}_q^\eta(\beta) x^\beta.$$

Unfortunately the polynomials $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda(q)$ have not nonnegative coefficients in general. This is in particular the case for $\lambda = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$, $\mu^{(1)} = (5)$, $\mu^{(2)} = (4, 4)$ and $\mu^{(3)} = (2, 2)$ where we have $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \mu^{(3)}}^\lambda(q) = q^{11} - q^8$.

4.4 The q -analogues $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$

For $G = SO_{2n+1}$, Sp_{2n} or SO_{2n} , there exist coefficients associated to the decomposition of a tensor product of G -modules into its irreducible components admitting a natural quantization with nonnegative coefficients. For any partition $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, write $\mathfrak{V}^G(\nu)$ for the restriction from the irreducible GL_N -module of highest weight ν to G . Consider $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ a r -tuple of positive integers summing n and $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ a r -tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, r$. Given $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, the coefficients $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}$ are defined as the multiplicities of $V^G(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(r)})$, that is we have

$$\mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(r)}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} V^G(\lambda)^{\oplus \mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}}.$$

Contrary to the coefficients $d_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^\lambda$, the coefficients $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}$ depend on the Lie group G considered. Set

$$\Omega^G = \begin{cases} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (1 - \frac{1}{x_r x_s}) & \text{for } G = Sp_{2n} \\ \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} (1 - \frac{1}{x_r x_s}) & \text{for } G = SO_{2n} \\ \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (1 - \frac{1}{x_r x_s}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - \frac{1}{x_i}) & \text{for } G = SO_{2n+1} \end{cases}.$$

Denote by $\Omega^{\eta, G}$ the partition function defined for $G = Sp_{2n}$, SO_{2n} and SO_{2n+1} by the identities

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \Omega_G = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \Omega^{\eta, G}(\beta) x^\beta \quad (25)$$

where $E_\eta = \cup_{2 \leq p \leq r} \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{p-1} < j \leq n\}$.

Proposition 4.4.1 *With the above notation we have*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \Omega^{\eta, G}(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu)$$

Proof. Consider $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ set

$$v_\alpha = \det \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha_1}^{GL_n} & h_{\alpha_1+1}^{GL_n} & \dots & h_{\alpha_1+m-1}^{GL_n} \\ h_{\alpha_2-1}^{GL_n} & h_{\alpha_2}^{GL_n} & \dots & h_{\alpha_2+m-2}^{GL_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ h_{\alpha_m-m+1}^{GL_n} & h_{\alpha_m-m+2}^{GL_n} & \dots & h_{\alpha_m}^{GL_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in Lemma 4.1.2, we have from Jacobi-Trudi's determinantal expression of the Schur function $s_\lambda^{GL_n}$

$$v_\alpha = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} s_\lambda^{GL_n} & \text{if there exists } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \text{ such that } \sigma \circ \alpha = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (26)$$

Let $K = [k_1, \dots, k_m] \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the interval containing the m consecutive integers $k_1 < \dots < k_m$. Consider the Laurent polynomial $\mathfrak{d}_K = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (1 - \frac{x_{k_i}}{x_{k_j}})$ (see paragraph 4.1) Then we derive as in Lemma 4.1.3 the equality $H_{GL_n}(\mathfrak{d}_K \cdot x^\alpha) = v_\alpha^G$. Now observe that for any integer k , we have $h_k^{SP_{2n}} = h_k^{GL_{2n}}$, $h_k^{SO_{2n}} = h_k^{GL_{2n}} - h_{k-2}^{GL_{2n}}$ and $h_k^{SO_{2n+1}} = h_k^{GL_{2n+1}} - h_{k-1}^{GL_{2n+1}}$. This permits to express the determinant (16) in terms of the $h_k^{GL_{2n}}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$\mathfrak{d}^G = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}) \Omega_G.$$

For any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and for each Lie group Sp_{2n} , SO_{2n+1} and SO_{2n} we will have

$$u_\beta^G = H_{GL_n}(\mathfrak{d}^G \cdot x^\beta).$$

Now we define the intervals $K_1, \dots, K_r$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ from η by setting $K_1 = [1, \dots, \eta_1]$ and for any $p = 2, \dots, r$, $K_p = [\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{p-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_p]$. Consider the linear maps

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_\eta : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n^{GL_n} \\ x^\beta \mapsto v_{\beta^{(1)}} \dots v_{\beta^{(r)}} \end{array} \right\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_\eta : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \\ x^\beta \mapsto \mathfrak{d}_\eta \cdot x^\beta \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi^G : \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \\ x^\beta \mapsto (\mathfrak{d}^G)^{-1} \cdot x^\beta \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_\eta = \mathfrak{d}_{K_1} \dots \mathfrak{d}_{K_r}$ and $\beta^{(1)}, \dots, \beta^{(r)}$ are defined as in (18). Then we obtain as in Proposition 4.1.4 the identities $V_\eta = H_{GL_n} \circ \Phi_\eta$, $H_{GL_n} = U_G \circ \Psi^G$ which lead to $V_\eta = U_G \circ \Psi^G \circ \Phi_\eta$. By (25) we have

$$(\mathfrak{d}^G)^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}_\eta = \prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \Omega^G = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{Q}^{\eta, G}(\beta) x^\beta$$

thus we can write $V_\eta(x^\mu) = U_G(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{Q}^{\eta, G}(\beta) x^{\beta+\mu}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{Q}^{\eta, G}(\beta) u_{\beta+\mu}^G$. Then by using the straightening law (26) we derive

$$s_{\mu^{(1)}}^{GL_n} \dots s_{\mu^{(r)}}^{GL_n} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathfrak{Q}^{\eta, G}(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu) s_\lambda^G$$

which establishes the proposition. $\blacksquare$

The coefficients $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}$ admit the natural quantization

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, G}(\sigma \circ \lambda - \mu)$$

where $\mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, G}$ is defined for $G = Sp_{2n}$, SO_{2n} and SO_{2n+1} respectively by the identities

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - q \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (1 - q \frac{1}{x_r x_s})^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, Sp_{2n}}(\beta) x^\beta \\ \prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - q \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} (1 - q \frac{1}{x_r x_s})^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, SO_{2n}}(\beta) x^\beta \\ \prod_{(i,j) \in E_\eta} (1 - q \frac{x_i}{x_j})^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (1 - q \frac{1}{x_r x_s})^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - q^2 \frac{1}{x_i})^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, SO_{2n+1}}(\beta) x^\beta \end{array} \right. \quad (27)$$

There exists a duality between the polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ and the q -analogues of Theorem 3.2.4. Surprisingly this duality does not relate the polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ to the polynomials $\tilde{K}_{\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}}^{G, I}(q)$ but to the polynomials $\tilde{K}_{\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}}^{\hat{G}, I}(q)$ where

$$\hat{G} = \begin{cases} Sp_{2n} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n} \\ SO_{2n} & \text{if } G = Sp_{2n} \\ SO_{2n+1} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1} \end{cases}.$$

The polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ also decompose as nonnegative combinations of Poincaré polynomials. Set $\bar{\eta} = (\bar{\eta}_1, \dots, \bar{\eta}_r)$. Then G and GL_n contain Levi subgroups $L_{G, I}$ and $L_{GL_n, I}$ isomorphic to $GL_{\bar{\eta}_1} \times \dots \times GL_{\bar{\eta}_r}$. We have $I = \{0 < \alpha_i < \bar{\eta}_1\} \cup_{1 \leq p \leq r-1} \{\alpha_i \mid \bar{\eta}_1 + \dots + \bar{\eta}_p < i < \bar{\eta}_1 + \dots + \bar{\eta}_{p+1}\}$.

Theorem 4.4.2 *With the previous notation we have*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = \tilde{K}_{\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}}^{\hat{G}, I}(q) = q^{\frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} [V(\nu)^{\hat{G}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}] K_{\nu, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q) \quad (28)$$

where $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}$ are defined as in Theorem 4.2.4.

Proof. We use the notation of paragraph 4.2. We only give the proof for $G = Sp_{2n}$ or $G = SO_{2n}$. The proof is essentially the same for $G = SO_{2n+1}$. By proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.2.1, we establish, for any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the identity

$$\mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, G}(\beta) = \mathcal{P}_q^{\hat{G}, I}(\iota(\beta)).$$

We have

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, G}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{P}_q^{\hat{G}, I}(\iota(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho))).$$

Then the equality $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = \tilde{K}_{\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}}^{\hat{G}, I}(q)$ is obtained by using essentially the same arguments as in the proof of theorem 4.2.4.

We deduce from (8) the expansions

$$\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (1 - q \frac{1}{x_r x_s})^{-1} = q^{|\delta|/2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} r_{SO_{2n}}(\delta) x^{-\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} (1 - q \frac{1}{x_r x_s})^{-1} = q^{|\delta|/2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} r_{Sp_{2n}}(\delta) x^{-\delta}.$$

Consider $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By proceeding as for Lemma 3.2.3 we derive from (27) the equality

$$\mathfrak{Q}_q^{\eta, G}(\beta) = q^{-|\beta|/2} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = -|\beta|} r_{\hat{G}}(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n, I}(\beta + \delta).$$

This implies the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = -|\beta|} r_{\hat{G}}(\delta) q^{-|\beta|/2} \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n, I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho + \delta) - (\mu + \rho))$$

where $\beta = \sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)$ in the second sum. Since $|\beta| = |\lambda| - |\mu|$, we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = q^{\frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\mu| - |\lambda|} r_{\hat{G}}(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n, I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho + \delta) - (\mu + \rho))$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, we have $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^n) = \mathbb{N}^n$ and $r_{\hat{G}}(\delta) = r_{\hat{G}}(\sigma(\delta))$. Thus we obtain

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = q^{\frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\mu| - |\lambda|} r_{\hat{G}}(\delta) \mathcal{P}_q^{GL_n, I}(\sigma(\lambda + \rho + \delta) - (\mu + \rho)) =$$

$$q^{\frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\delta| = |\mu| - |\lambda|} r_{\hat{G}}(\delta) K_{\lambda + \delta, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q).$$

Now by Lemma 3.2.2, $K_{\lambda + \delta, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q) = 0$ or there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\nu \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$ such that $\nu = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\lambda + \delta)$. Since $\lambda + \delta$ has positive coordinates, ν cannot have negative coordinates and thus $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then we have $|\nu| = |\lambda| + |\delta| = |\mu|$ and $\delta = \sigma(\nu + \rho) - \rho - \lambda$ and it follows that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = q^{\frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} r_{\hat{G}}(\sigma(\nu + \rho) - \rho - \lambda) K_{\nu, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q).$$

Now we deduce from Lemma 2.1.1 applied with $\mathcal{M} = r_{\hat{G}}$ and Proposition 2.2.3 that the equality

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} r_{\hat{G}}(\sigma(\nu + \rho) - (\lambda + \rho)) = [V(\nu + k\kappa)^{\hat{G}} : V(\lambda + k\kappa)^{GL_n}]$$

holds for any integer $k \geq \frac{|\nu| - |\lambda|}{2} = \frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}$. Since λ and ν are partitions, we can write $[V(\nu + k\kappa)^{\hat{G}} : V(\lambda + k\kappa)^{GL_n}] = [V(\nu)^{\hat{G}} : V(\lambda)^{GL_n}]$ (see Remark after Proposition 2.2.3), this yields to the desired equality. ■

Remark: By setting $q = 1$ in the above identity, we obtain the identity

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} [V(\lambda)^{\hat{G}} : V(\nu)^{GL_n}] c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\nu}$$

which can also be deduced from the decompositions

$$\mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{V}^G(\mu^{(r)}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} V^{GL_{2n}}(\nu)^{\oplus c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\nu}}$$

and

$$V^{GL_{2n}}(\nu) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} [V(\nu)^{GL_{2n}} : V(\lambda)^G]$$

since we have the duality $[V(\nu)^{GL_{2n}} : V(\lambda)^G] = [V(\lambda)^{\hat{G}} : V(\nu)^{GL_n}]$ (see Theorem A₁ of [7]).

When the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing heights, $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ has nonnegative coefficients by (23) and Theorem 3.1.3. From the above theorem and (9) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = q^{\frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond G}} c_{\gamma, \lambda}^{\nu} K_{\nu, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q) \quad (29)$$

where

$$\diamond_G = \begin{cases} (1, 1) & \text{if } G = SO_{2n} \\ (2) & \text{if } G = Sp_{2n} \\ (1) & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1} \end{cases}.$$

For completion, set $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\nu, GL_n}(q) = K_{\nu, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$ and $\diamond_{GL_n} = \emptyset$. Then the four families of polynomials $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ can be classified by using the same symbols $\diamond_G = \emptyset, (1), (1, 1), (2)$ labelling the four families

of stable one-dimensional sums. Write $X_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, \diamond_G}(q)$ for the one-dimensional sum defined from the affine crystal of kind $\diamond_G$ associated to $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ and λ . By Theorem 3.1.3 we know that $X_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\nu, \emptyset}(q) = K_{\nu, \mu}^{GL_n, I}(q)$. Then we derive from (29) and Theorem 4.4.2 that Conjecture 5 of [17] giving the decomposition of the one-dimensional sums of kind $\diamond_G = (1), (1, 1), (2)$ in terms of those of kind $\emptyset$ can be reformulated as follows:

Conjecture 4.4.3 *The q -analogue $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ coincide with the stable one-dimensional sum $X_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, \diamond_G}(q)$ up to the multiplication by a power of q :*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q) = \tilde{K}_{\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}}^{\hat{G}, I}(q) = q^* X_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, \diamond_G}(q)$$

where q^* is a power of q depending on the normalization of the energy (or co-energy) function chosen on this crystal.

Remark: This conjecture has been proved in [12] when $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ are row partitions of decreasing lengths. In this case the stable one-dimensional sums are stable limits of Lusztig q -analogues. Accordingly to the previous conjecture, the stable one-dimensional sums associated to nonexceptional affine Lie algebras are stable limits of generalized Lusztig q -analogues.

5 Question

In Theorem 4.4.2, the partitions $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ are not supposed rectangular. Conjecture 3.1.4 suggests that it should exists, for all classical Lie groups, nonnegative q -analogues $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ of tensor product coefficients defined from the r -tuples $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ such that μ is a partition. It would be interesting to find a combinatorial interpretation of the $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}}^{\lambda, G}(q)$ when $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)}$ are not rectangular.

References

- [1] A. BROER, *Normality of some nilpotent varieties and cohomology of lines bundles on the cotangent bundles of the flag variety*, in Lie theory and geometry in honor of Bertrand Kostant, ed J. L. Brylinski, V. Guillemin, V. Kac, Progress in Mathematics, **123**, 1-18.
- [2] R-K. BRYLINSKY, Limits of weight spaces, Lusztig's q -analogs and fiberings of adjoint orbits, J. Amer. Math. Soc, **2**, no.3 (1989), 517-533.
- [3] W. FULTON, J. HARRIS, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag.
- [4] G. GOODMAN, N. R. WALLACH, *Representation theory and invariants of the classical groups*, Cambridge University Press.
- [5] G. HATAYAMA, A. KUNIBA, M. OKADO, T. TAKAGI, Y. YAMADA, *Remarks on fermionic formula*, in N. Jing and K. C. Misra, eds. Recent Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and Related Topics, Contemporary Mathematics **248**, AMS, Providence, 243-291, (1999).
- [6] G. KASHIWARA, A. TANISAKI, *Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and Schubert varieties*, J. Algebra, **249**, 306-325 (2002).
- [7] K. KOIKE, I. TERADA, *Young diagrammatic methods for the representations theory of the classical groups of type B_n, C_n and D_n* , Journal of Algebra, **107**, 466-511 (1987).
- [8] K. KOIKE, I. TERADA, *Young diagrammatic methods for the restriction of representations of complex classical Lie groups to reductive subgroups of maximal rank*, Advances in Mathematics, **79**, 104-135 (1990).

- [9] A. LASCoux, B. LECLERC, J. Y. THIBON, *Ribbon tableaux, Hall Littlewood functions, quantum affine algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 1041-1068 (1996).
- [10] A. LASCoux, B. LECLERC, J. Y. THIBON, *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Combinatorial methods in representation theory (Kyoto, 1998), Adv. Stu. Pure Math. vol 28, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 155-220 (2000).
- [11] C. LECOUEY, *A duality between q -multiplicities in tensor products and q -multiplicities of weights for the root systems B, C or D* (to appear in J. Comb. Theory. Series. A), ArXiv: CO/04007522.
- [12] C. LECOUEY, M. SHIMOZONO, *Lusztig's q -analogue of weight multiplicity and one-dimensional sums for affine root systems*, arXiv:math.CO/0501353.
- [13] D-E. LITTLEWOOD, *The theory of group characters and matrix representations of groups*, Oxford University Press, second edition (1958).
- [14] G. LUSZTIG, *Singularities, character formulas, and a q -analog of weight multiplicities*, Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (II-III), Asterisque **101-102**, 208-227 (1983).
- [15] M. SHIMOZONO, *Affine type A structure on tensor product of rectangles, Demazure Characters and nilpotent varieties*, J. Algebraic Combin **15**, 151-187 (2002).
- [16] M. SHIMOZONO, M. ZABROCKI, *Deformed universal characters for classical and affine algebras*, Preprint (2004), ArXiv: CO/0404288.
- [17] M. SHIMOZONO, *On the $X = M = K$ conjecture*, arXiv:math.CO/0501353.
- [18] M. SHIMOZONO, J. WEYMAN, *Graded characters of modules supported in the closure of a nilpotent conjugacy class*, European. J. Combin **21**, 257-288 (2000).